Form Letter B 130-149

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:26 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: hvwarren=earthlink.net@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:hvwarren=earthlink.net@campaigns.mplatform.io] On

Behalf Of hvwarren@earthlink.net

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:44 PM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Halina Warren 4000 Robin Hill Way Clarks Summit , PA 18411 TEL: 5708787477 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg , PA 17101 RECEIVED

AUG 26 202

Independent Regulatory
Review Commission

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent,

Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI, And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Halina Warren

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:45 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: butowicztony=comcast.net@campaigns.mplatform.io

[mailto:butowicztony=comcast.net@campaigns.mplatform.io] On Behalf Of butowicztony@comcast.net

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:17 PM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Anthony J. Butowicz 204 ANGELA ROSA DR Jeannette , PA 15644 TEL: 7244684148 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2,87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent,

Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Anthony J. Butowicz

From: Irrchelp

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:45 AM

To: IRRC

Subject: FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: r913321=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:r913321=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On

Behalf Of r913321@hotmail.com

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:29 PM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Marie Ryals
147 Willow Drive
Jim Thorpe , PA 18229
TEL: 5704133715
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. for the following reasons: united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Two-thirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-by-regulation scheme. manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX

REGULATION: <a href="https://example.com/reduced-reduc action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly," In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. FIXED INCOME SENIORS:
br>To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: https://environment.org/limate-change, Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, tacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Marie Ryals

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:45 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: dorning9=gmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:dorning9=gmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On Behalf

Of dorning9@gmail.com

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:36 PM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Pat Dorning 2142 Masonic Drive, Bldg.6 Sewickley, PA 15143 TEL: 4127413857 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job tosses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent,

Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including; 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Pat Dorning

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: loc.anderson30=gmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io

[mailto:loc.anderson30=gmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On Behalf Of loc.anderson30@gmail.com

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:45 PM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Loren Anderson 1559 Network Drive Canonsburg , PA 15317 TEL: 7245446693 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uproofing communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent,

Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Loren Anderson

From: Irrchelp

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To: IRRC

Subject: FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: cathi321=yahoo.com@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:cathi321=yahoo.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On

Behalf Of cathi321@yahoo.com

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:20 PM To: Irrchelp lrrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Cathleen Rhodes Honse
115 Donaldson St
Greensburg , PA 15601
TEL: 7248756346
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unitateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES:
br>Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Two-thirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-by-regulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth,

REGULATION:

Spragin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI, And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth, FIXED INCOME SENIORS: SeniorS
Senio families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: environment.nih.gov/ ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: environment.nih.gov/ environment. reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Cathleen Rhodes Honse

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: edithcalem=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:edithcalem=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io]

On Behalf Of edithcalem@hotmail.com Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:32 PM To: Irrchelp<irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Edith Calem 813 N Orianna st Unit a Phila , PA 19123 TEL: 2404817838 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth.

PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action ол RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change, Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI, Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Edith Calem

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: trivialbs1=comcast.net@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:trivialbs1=comcast.net@campaigns.mplatform.io] On

Behalf Of trivialbs1@comcast.net

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:33 PM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Bruce Shirey 831 Youngstown Ridge Road Latrobe, PA 15650 TEL: 7245372338 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent.

Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including; 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI, And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS; To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN; RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, tacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Bruce Shirey

From:

Irrchelp

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To: IRRC

Subject: FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: dragonflydreams2=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io

[mailto:dragonflydreams2=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On Behalf Of dragonflydreams2@hotmail.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:11 AM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Gary Denese 3310 Dawson Street Apt 2 Pittsburgh , PA 15213 TEL: 4129691258 Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St 14th Floor Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this, SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth.

PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent. Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly," In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS; To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining power plants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. According to data released by PJM, Inc., the Energy Information Administration, and Penn State University. electric bills will increase by up to 18% if Governor Wolf is allowed to enter Pennsylvania into the RGGI. As I am a retiree living on Social Security, that would NOT be sustainable for me!!! MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI, Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely. Gary Denese

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:46 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: cpeterman=keyconops.com@campaigns.mplatform.io

[mailto:cpeterman=keyconops.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On Behalf Of cpeterman@keyconops.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:19 AM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Craig Peterman
Shift Supervisor
CAMS
75 Hemlock Lane
Clymer , PA 15728
TEL: 7248404565
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job tosses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the

largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unitateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN; RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense: all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Craig Peterman Shift Supervisor CAMS

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:47 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: mikeyankuskie=yahoo.com@campaigns.mplatform.io

[mailto:mikeyankuskie=yahoo.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On Behalf Of mikeyankuskie@yahoo.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 5:57 AM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mike Yankuskie
Operator
Keystone Power Plant
176 Elderton Heights Road
Elderton, PA 15736
TEL: 7247626362
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI, Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this, SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the

largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth, PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose. "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN; RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Mike Yankuskie Operator Keystone Power Plant

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:47 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: dhoover=keyconops.com@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:dhoover=keyconops.com@campaigns.mplatform.io]

On Behalf Of dhoover@keyconops.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:27 AM
To: Irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Donald Hoover
FGD Attendant
CAMS
446 Kepshire Rd
Patton, PA 16668
TEL: 8143304517
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this, SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the

largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth, PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent. Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants. which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Donald Hoover FGD Attendant CAMS

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:47 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: grant.smith2=nrg.com@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:grant.smith2=nrg.com@campaigns.mplatform.io] On

Behalf Of grant.smith2@nrg.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:56 AM To: Irrchelp <irrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us>

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Grant Smith
Electrical and Instrumentation tech
NRG
1750 power plant road
Homer City , PA 15748
TEL: 7247623484
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the

largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uproofing communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI, And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS; To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Grant Smith Electrical and Instrumentation tech NRG

From:

Irrchelp

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:47 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

FW: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

From: dktech=windstream.net@campaigns.mplatform.io [mailto:dktech=windstream.net@campaigns.mplatform.io] On

Behalf Of dktech@windstream.net

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:59 AM To: Irrchelp lrrchelp@irrc.state.pa.us

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Daniel Janicik
President
DK Technologies, Inc
9 Regola Drive
Irwin , PA 15642
TEL: 7247440420
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear:

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the

largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change, Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Daniel Janicik President DK Technologies, Inc

From: devans113=comcast.net@campaigns.mplatform.io on behalf of devans113

@comcast.net

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:57 AM

To: Irrchelp

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Deborah Evans
CONSOL Energy Inc
2000 Moccasin Dr
Washington , PA 15301
TEL: 4129514799
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unitateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining

jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Deborah Evans CONSOL Energy Inc.

From: lucyst=verizon.net@campaigns.mplatform.io on behalf of lucyst@verizon.net

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:39 PM

To: Irrchelp

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Lucy Tscherne
1000 Conestoga Rd,
B121
Bryn Mawr , PA 19010
TEL: 8622049083
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just

to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Lucy Tscherne

From: mastal=email.com@campaigns.mplatform.io on behalf of mastal@email.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 4:23 PM

To: Irrchelp

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thomas Mastaller
Retired
97 Parklane Drive
Canonsburg , PA 15317
TEL: 7247437033
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and iobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just

to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Thomas Mastaller Retired

From: k_schall=yahoo.com@campaigns.mplatform.io on behalf of k_schall@yahoo.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:10 PM

To: Irrchelp

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

keith schall
192 schall rd
Kittannig , PA 16201
TEL: 7249545161
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unitateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this, SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES; Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity - down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine

percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, keith schall

From: robert.stockdill=keyconops.com@campaigns.mplatform.io on behalf of

robert.stockdill@keyconops.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:10 PM

To: Irrchelp

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Robert Stockdill
E&I Technician @ Keystone Power Plant
148 Peterman Rd
Ford City , PA 16226
TEL: 7247634971
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear :

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uprooting communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University. Many good paying, family sustaining

jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, lacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Robert Stockdill E&I Technician @ Keystone Power Plant

From: roxnran=hotmail.com@campaigns.mplatform.io on behalf of roxnran@hotmail.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:48 PM

To: Irrchelp

Subject: Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Randy Sickles
Lab operator
Cams
3626 Admiral Peary Highway
Ebensburg , PA 15931
TEL: 8142415278
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market St
14th Floor
Harrisburg , PA 17101

Please Reject Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274); CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI)

Dear ::

I write to express my opposition to Regulation #7-559 (IRRC# 3274): CO2 Budget Trading Program (RGGI) urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject this proposal. This regulation is a unilateral attempt to impose a regressive carbon tax on Pennsylvania's consumers and businesses, and is opposed by supermajorities of both the General Assembly and Pennsylvania voters. The impact of the regulation will result in massive job losses, significant utility rate increases, and all for minimal or possibly negative environmental benefit to our commonwealth. I strongly oppose RGGI for the following reasons: MASSIVE JOB LOSSES: Organized labor and Pennsylvania's business community are united in their opposition to RGGI because it will lead to the loss of thousands of blue-collar, mostly union jobs, and destroy many communities throughout Pennsylvania. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation, and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes. Twothirds of our electric generation will be rendered uncompetitive, which in turn will lead to increased electric rates in-state and a migration of new generation investment across our borders to states like Ohio and West Virginia which do not participate in RGGI. Energy rates on our manufacturers and other energy-intensive businesses will increase significantly, leading to even more economic devastation, which is why 73 percent of Pennsylvania business owners oppose the Governor's RGGI-byregulation scheme. States that have implemented RGGI have seen their electric rates soar and their manufacturing base leave their states. I do not want to see Pennsylvania's overall business competitiveness impacted by an overreaching regulation such as this. SIGNIFICANT RATE INCREASES: Residential electric ratepayers in Pennsylvania could be forced to pay 18 percent or more for their electric bills, which would be extremely harmful to low-income families and fixed income seniors. As has occurred in current RGGI states, which have seen electric rates increase by more than 1000 percent more than Pennsylvania between 2009 and 2019, RGGI will increase electric bills for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers by \$3 billion by 2030. This is money that cannot be used to grow our economy, pay our workforce, and invest in our communities. As electricity can be the largest cost input for businesses, they will relocate, displacing our jobs and uproofing communities across our commonwealth. PA VOTERS OPPOSE GOVERNOR WOLF'S RGGI TAX REGULATION: By a margin of 60 percent to 29 percent, Pennsylvania voters oppose, "Governor Wolf taking unilateral action on energy policies that directly impact electric rates and jobs from existing power plants without the approval of the General Assembly." In fact, voters from every region of Pennsylvania feel the same, including: 64 percent in Allegheny County; 56 percent in Southeast Pennsylvania; and, in Philadelphia, 52 percent oppose Governor Wolf's unilateral action on RGGI. And, for good reason as this is an issue that ought to be voted before the legislature as it is a clear tax and as it's a major shift in public policy that will impact the entire commonwealth. NO HELP FOR WORKERS, LOW INCOME FAMILIES AND FIXED INCOME SENIORS: To make matters worse, adopting RGGI by regulation precludes any assistance to workers, families and communities impacted by job losses and higher electric rates as acknowledged by the Department of Environmental Protection and Penn State University, Many good paying, family sustaining jobs, both union and non-union will be impacted. All those jobs in building and maintaining powerplants will be displaced, but so

will the industries that support this economic activity – down to the local restaurants, real estate agents, and local retailers, just to name a few. MORE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM THAN GAIN: RGGI will have zero impact on climate change. Ninety-nine percent of all CO2 reductions from plant closures in Pennsylvania will be offset by CO2 increases in non-RGGI states, such as Ohio and West Virginia. In fact, those states have been ramping up investments and subsidizing their fossil fuel plants in anticipation of RGGI. Worse yet, those plants are not subject to the same strict air pollution standards as Pennsylvania's plants, which means that increased pollution from Ohio and West Virginia will flow into Pennsylvania as a direct result of RGGI. Pennsylvania continues to witness the positive environmental impacts that clean, reliable, and affordable energy provides in a deregulated electricity market. Pennsylvania is already ahead of the carbon dioxide emission reduction goals established under Governor Wolf's Climate Action Plan and is ahead of many of the participating RGGI states, even while supplying these same states with energy and energy intensive goods. I am asking that you intercede on behalf of the citizens of the commonwealth, and on behalf of the impacted communities that have been denied their legal right to confront the bureaucracy that seeks to destroy their lives and livelihoods. Please reject this harmful regulation, tacking basis in Pennsylvania law and in common sense; all for a seemingly political power-play by the Wolf Administration. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely, Randy Sickles Lab operator Cams